











October 12, 2023

Dear Members of the House Veterans Affairs and Emergency Preparedness, subcommittee on Security and Emergency Response Readiness:

Thank you for meeting with us on October 6, 2023, regarding House Bill 1632 which gives a wider avenue for first responders to receive compensation and benefits under the Pa. Workers' Compensation Act ("Pa. WCA") for post-traumatic stress injuries ("PTSI"). As a follow-up to our meeting, our coalition of municipal stakeholders ask that you please consider our updated position and the proposed alternative language for Pa. HB 1632.

The sentiment of our October 4, 2023 letter remains: We want to help ensure that Pa. HB 1632 provides a true and reliable benefit for our first responders – one that is sustainable over the long-term and funded by a prudent financial model that is insurable at a reasonable cost to local governments and their taxpayers. Our proposal seeks to address your interest in providing first responders with a clearer path forward with true PTSI claims while ensuring a sustained and financially viable benefit. We appreciate this opportunity to contribute to creating a solution that balances the needs of our first responders, local government entities, and the Commonwealth's taxpayers.

Pennsylvania House Bill 1632

As noted in our October 4, 2023 letter, legislation providing an avenue for first responders to receive compensation and benefits under the Pa. WCA for PTSI without showing an abnormal working environment should be appropriately tailored to ensure financial sustainability, minimize specious claims, and reduce the likelihood of legal challenges. Pa. HB 1632 includes four critical provisions, which we commend:

1. PTSI is listed as an injury under Section 301 of the Act (by adding a new Section 301(g)) as opposed to a presumptive occupational disease under Section 108.

- 2. PTSI is defined as "post-traumatic stress disorder as defined by the American Psychiatric Association and documented in the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition." This ensures the diagnosis is consistent with generally accepted scientific standards.
- 3. Pa. HB 1632 includes a (3) year limitations period for filing a claim, which begins to run when the claimant is diagnosed with PTSI.
- Pa. HB 1632 expressly excludes compensation for PTSI caused by an employment action, including disciplinary action, job performance evaluation, job transfers or termination of employment.

Hallmarks of Successful Legislation

In addition to the language referenced above, the following criteria would also support the above-referenced goals of balanced legislation.

- 1. Clarify that the claimant's PTSI be caused by <u>direct exposure to a defined traumatic event</u>, consistent with the DSM-5 diagnostic criteria. This requirement serves two purposes:
 - a. Provides an objective evidentiary basis for assessing the PTSI diagnosis and therefore the credibility of the claim itself; and
 - b. Tying the PTSI diagnosis to a "traumatic event" will allow an objective factfinder to identify the responsible employer. For example, if a police officer works 20 years for one police department and then moves to another where the officer is diagnosed with PTSI, it is important to determine when the triggering traumatic event(s) occurred.

Note: Section 301(g)(4) seemingly aligns with this approach as it allows for an employee to make a claim against a former employer who was their employer "at the time of the <u>direct exposure to the traumatic event</u> which caused the injury." (emphasis added). However, it is not clear. Explicitly adding this requirement in Section 301(g)(1) would alleviate potential legal complications associated with ambiguous language.

- 2. Provide a specific list of "traumatic events" that qualify for a bona fide "mental-mental" PTSI in accordance with the DSM-5 diagnostic criteria, such as:
 - a. Viewing a deceased minor;
 - b. Witnessing the death of a person or an incident involving the death of a person as a result of a violent event, including, without limitation, a homicide, suicide or mass casualty incident;
 - c. Witnessing an injury to a person who subsequently dies before or upon admission at a hospital as a result of the injury and not as a result of any other intervening cause;

- d. Having physical contact with and treating an injured person who subsequently dies before or upon admission at a hospital as a result of the injury and not as a result of any other intervening cause.
- 3. Require the PTSI diagnosis be made by a licensed psychiatrist or psychologist with appropriate clinical expertise.
- 4. Require incident reports or other documentation of the qualifying traumatic event(s) as a pre-condition for submitting any PTSI claim. Police, fire, and EMS reports are issued for each incident requiring response from those departments, so this would not be a high burden.
- 5. The quality of the evidence presented by both parties should be "substantial competent evidence," so that incompetent or speculative medical testimony is excluded from consideration.
- 6. Considering that the 3-year limitations period for filing a claim would begin to run when the claimant is diagnosed with PTSI, identify the responsible employer by adding the following provision: "For purposes of determining liability under the act, the date of injury shall be the last date of the claimant's direct exposure to the traumatic event(s) which caused the injury." Again, this language is consistent with the direct exposure requirement set forth in Section 301(g)(4).
- 7. Make the legislation effective at least twelve (12) months after enactment as opposed to the current sixty (60) days after enactment to provide state and local governments and their insuring entities with time to adjust workers' compensation premiums based on this new exposure.
- 8. Include a sunset provision in the law to allow the legislature to gather more information on the associated costs.
- 9. Predicate the ability to receive benefits on the direct exposure to a defined traumatic event occurring on or after the legislation's date of enactment.
- 10. Include a duration cap on benefits to guard against runaway claims.

PTSI Legislation in Other States

In addition to the requirements set forth above, below is an updated list of similar laws enacted in other states. Notably, there are common fiscally responsible provisions included in these laws that are omitted from Pa. HB 1632. Such provisions include:

- 1. Duration cap on benefits¹
- 2. Precluding injuries that occurred prior to the legislation enactment date²
- 3. Sunset provision³
- 4. Specific list of traumatic events⁴
- 5. Allowing local government entities to "opt-in" to provide this enhanced benefit⁵

_

¹ See Connecticut, Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

² See California, Connecticut, Idaho, Minnesota, Texas, and Virginia.

³ See California, Idaho, Maine, and West Virginia

⁴ See Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Nevada, and Virginia.

⁵ See West Virginia.

These features act as important governors on this type of legislation where underwriting data is lacking and not mature.

<u>State</u>	<u>Date</u> of Enactment	Act or Bill Number (hyperlinked to viewable document)
Wisconsin	April 27, 2021	Act 29 of 2021
West Virginia	April 10, 2021	House Bill No. 3107
Ohio	January 9, 2021	<u>House Bill No. 308</u>
Nebraska	August 15, 2020	Legislative Bill No. 963
Virginia	April 22, 2020	Senate Bill No. 561
Wyoming	March 26, 2020	Enrolled Act No. 56
California	October 1, 2019	Senate Bill No. 542
Connecticut	June 18, 2019	Substitute Senate Bill No. 164
Oregon	June 13, 2019	Senate Bill No. 507
Nevada	June 3, 2019	Assembly Bill No. 492
Idaho	March 12, 2019	Senate Bill No. 1028
Minnesota	May 20, 2018	House File No. 3873
Florida	March 27, 2018	Senate Bill No. 376
Washington	March 26, 2018	Substitute Senate Bill No. 6214
Maine	July 24, 2017	Public Law, Chapter 294
Vermont	June 15, 2017	Act 80 of 2017
Colorado	June 5, 2017	<u>House Bill No. 17-1229</u>
Texas	June 1, 2017	House Bill No. 1983

Alternatives to Using the Workers Compensation System

Considering the inevitable and potentially uninsurable costs associated with enhancing benefits for first responders suffering from PTSI through the workers' compensation system, we continue to urge you to consider alternative approaches. A few examples are provided below:

1. In April 2021, Ohio created the State Post-Traumatic Stress Fund, a <u>new stand-alone fund separate from the workers' compensation system</u>, to pay for compensation and medical benefits to public safety officers disabled by post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) received in the course of, and arising out of, employment as a public safety officer but without an accompanying physical injury. Additional information regarding the legislation can be found at:

https://www.legislature.ohio.gov/legislation/legislation-summary?id=GA133-HB-308.

2. South Carolina appropriates money at the state level that goes into a fund to defray the medical expenses associated with PTSI treatment that are incurred under a first responder's health plan (*e.g.*, reimbursement of copays and deductibles).

- 3. Colorado pioneered a heart and cancer benefits trust that seemingly could be applied in the PTSI context. Essentially, these trusts provide a parallel track to workers' compensation without the legal complications typically found in workers compensation. Following are the links to the Colorado trust which include a wealth of detailed information on how the programs work: http://www.cfhtrust.com/heart/ and http://www.cfhtrust.com/cancer/.
- 4. In Pennsylvania, Governor Wolf signed Act 69 of 2020 into law, which requires the establishment of mental wellness and stress management guidelines for first responders, establishes peer-to-peer support programs for first responders to discuss mental health issues, establishes a toll-free helpline that first responders can call when dealing with mental health issues, establishes the Statewide Critical Incident Stress Management Program and increases required training for a variety of topics including PTSI.
- 5. Governor Wolf also signed Act 59 of 2020 in law, which provides law enforcement officers with mental health evaluations for PTSI upon officer request, recommendation of a supervisor or after the use of lethal force. Act 59 also requires law enforcement officers be assigned to "administrative duty" if experiencing symptoms of PTSI until they are cleared to resume full duty.

Thank you again for allowing us the opportunity to provide our updated position and response to Pa. HB 1632. If you would like to discuss the contents of this letter further, you may reach out to any of the stakeholders listed below.

Marie Wade

Marie Wade, Esq.
Interim General Counsel
Delaware Valley Municipal
Management Association
719 Dresher Road
Horsham, PA 19044
(267) 803-5735
mwade@dvtrusts.com

Amy Sturges

Dep. Executive Director Advocacy Pa. Municipal League & Pa. State Assoc. of Twp. Commissioners 414 North Second St. Harrisburg, PA 17101 (717) 236-9469 ext. 225 asturges@pml.org Ed C Troxell

Edward C. Troxell
Director of Government Affairs
Pennsylvania State Association
of Boroughs
2941 N. Front Street
Harrisburg, PA 17110
(717) 236-9526 ext. 1021
etroxell@boroughs.org

John Holle

Joseph Gerdes, III
Director of Governmental Affairs
Pennsylvania State Association of
Township Supervisors
4855 Woodland Dr
Enola, PA 17025
(717) 763-0930 ext. 122
igerdes@psats.org

Frank Mazza

Frank Mazza
Director of Governmental Relations
County Commissioners Association
of Pennsylvania
P.O. Box 60769
Harrisburg, PA 17106-0769
(717) 526-1010 ext. 3355
fmazza@pacounties.org